UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,196	01/24/2007	Volker Gallatz	51253	1814	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. 1300 19TH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			COLEMAN, KEITH A		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3747		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/580,196	GALLATZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KEITH COLEMAN	3747		

	KEITH COLEMAN	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		: FIRST REPLY WAS FI	FD MITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	bliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	·	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 15-24 and 35. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e.	kplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>		·	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:			
/Stephen K. Cronin/	/K. C./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747	Examiner, Art Unit 3747		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has amended claim 25 to include "microwave pulses of short duration and high energy". Obviously, a microwave signal comprises of such, since Ward explicitly states on Col. 3, Lines 37-45 that "one can optimize coupling of the microwave energy by operating at frequencies with corresponding wavelengths smaller than the chamber dimensions." and frequencies at the microwave spectrum compose of wavelengths of short duration and high energy. As such, Ward teaches a clear motivation to further optimize these microwave pulses and the request for reconsideration is denied.